<u>Table 1</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 1

Table 1: Comparison of Ranking Question 1	North Care	Statewide	
	n=11	n=139	
I. Ranking Question 1:			
Please rank the top 5 factors critical to	choosing EBPs in your region	-	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1 <sup>st</sup> Choice 7.2%	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 9.1%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 12.9%	
a. Level of Ongoing Training Needed	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 9.1%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 9.4%	
3 3 3	4th Choice 9.1%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 17.3%	
	5th Choice 36.4%	5th Choice 20.1%	
	Unranked 36.4%	Unranked 33.1%	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 3.6%	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 18.2%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 2.2%	
b. Ease of Certification Process	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 0.0%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 9.4%	
	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 9.1%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 5.0%	
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 5.8%	
	Unranked 72.7%	Unranked 74.1%	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 5.8%	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 9.1%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 22.3%	
c. Cost of Intervention Delivery	3rd Choice 36.4%	3rd Choice 17.3%	
c. Cost of Intervention Delivery	4th Choice 9.1%	4th Choice 10.8%	
	5th Choice 27.3%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 11.5%	
	Unranked 18.2%	Unranked 32.4%	
	70.2 /u	511 anked 52.170	
	1st Choice 18.2%	1st Choice 8.6%	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 0.0%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 15.1%	
d. Ability to Maintain Fidelity to the Model	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 0.0%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 11.5%	
	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 18.2%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 12.2%	
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 18.2%	5th Choice 15.8%	
	Unranked 45.5%	Unranked 36.7%	
	1st Choice 45.5%	1st Choice 53.2%	
e. Consumer Demand	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 36.4%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 7.9%	
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 9.1%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 7.9%	
	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 9.1%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 5.8%	

	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	4.3%
	Unranked	0.0%	Unranked	20.9%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	2.2%
f. Retaining Qualified Staff to Deliver	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	9.1%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	12.2%
EBPs	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	18.2%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	16.5%
	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	27.3%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	20.9%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	11.5%
	Unranked	45.5%	Unranked	36.7%
	1st Choice	9.1%	1st Choice	3.6%
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	18.2%	2nd Choice	10.1%
g. Fit With Existing EBPs	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	0.0%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	8.6%
	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	0.0%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	9.4%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	9.1%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	12.2%
	Unranked	63.6%	Unranked	56.1%
	1st Choice	27.3%	1st Choice	15.1%
h Availability of Ongoing Funding	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	0.0%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	17.3%
h. Availability of Ongoing Funding from the State to Sustain EBPs	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	27.3%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	14.4%
if one the state to sustain Edi s	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	9.1%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	10.8%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	9.1%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	14.4%
	Unranked	27.3%	Unranked	28.1%
i. Presence of a Learning Collaborative	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	0.7%
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	0.0%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	0.0%
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	0.0%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	5.0%
	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	9.1%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	7.9%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	4.3%
	Unranked	90.9%	Unranked	82.0%

<u>Table 2</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 2

Table 2. Companson of Kanking Question 2	North Care	Statewide	
	n=11	n=139	
II. Ranking Question 2:			
Please rank the top 5 potential Statewid	e solutions:		
	1st Choice 45.5%	1st Choice 46.0%	
a. Fund Regions to Select Alternative	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 18.2%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 14.4%	
EBPs Based on Local Needs Rather	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 9.1%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 14.4%	
than only Mandated EBPs	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 0.0%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 3.6%	
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 7.2%	
	Unranked 27.3%	Unranked 14.4%	
	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 10.8%	
b. Provide Authoritative EBP	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 9.1%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 12.9%	
Consultation that is Readily Available	3rd Choice 9.1%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 19.4%	
to Clinicians on Demand	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 18.2%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 15.8%	
	5th Choice 18.2%	5th Choice 11.5%	
	Unranked 36.4%	Unranked 29.5%	
	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 5.8%	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 0.0%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 7.2%	
c. Maintain Dedicated State	3rd Choice 0.0%	3rd Choice 4.3%	
Champion for Each EBP	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 18.2%	4th Choice 13.7%	
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 14.4%	
	Unranked 72.7%	Unranked 54.7%	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	1st Choice 0.0%	1 <sup>st</sup> Choice 2.2%	
1 7 701 : 701	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 27.3%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 11.5%	
d. Increase Phase-in Time to Implement EBPs	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 9.1%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 10.8%	
Implement EDFS	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 0.0%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 10.1%	
	5th Choice 18.2%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 7.2%	
	Unranked 45.5%	Unranked 58.3%	
	1st Chains 0.40/	1st Chaire 12 00/	
e. Increase Consumer	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 12.9%	
Representation and Participation in	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 18.2%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 9.4%	
the Selection of EBPs	3rd Choice 18.2%	3rd Choice 5.8%	
	4th Choice 0.0%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice 5.0%	
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 18.2%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice 8.6%	

	Unranked	36.4%	Unranked	58.3%
f. Seek Regular Consumer Feedback	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	0.7%
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	18.2%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	11.5%
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	18.2%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	15.8%
on EBPs after they are Implemented	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	18.2%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	16.5%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	12.2%
	Unranked	45.5%	Unranked	43.2%
	1st Choice	18.2%	1st Choice	2.9%
g. Use a Variety of Communication	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	0.0%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	10.1%
Mechanisms to Inform Regions about	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	9.1%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	11.5%
EBPs	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	27.3%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	12.9%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	27.3%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	15.1%
	Unranked	18.2%	Unranked	47.5%
	1st Choice	9.1%	1st Choice	5.8%
h. Set up the State to Act as a Central	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	0.0%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	7.9%
Clearinghouse for EBP Information,	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	18.2%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	10.8%
Certification and Training	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	0.0%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	10.1%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	0.0%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	13.7%
	Unranked	72.7%	Unranked	51.8%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	12.2%
i. Certify the CMHSP/Region and not	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	9.1%	2nd Choice	14.4%
just the Individual Staff for Particular	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	9.1%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	6.5%
ÉBPs	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	18.2%	4 <sup>th</sup> Choice	11.5%
	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	18.2%	5 <sup>th</sup> Choice	9.4%
	Unranked	45.5%	Unranked	46.0%

<u>Table 3</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 3

	North Care	Statewide	
	n=11	n=139	
III. Ranking Question 3:			
Please rank the top 3 factors pertainin	g to fidelity:		
a. Promote Adaptations of EBPs to	1 <sup>st</sup> Choice 72.7%	1st Choice 46.3%	
Accommodate Regional Differences	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 27.3%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 31.3%	
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 0.0%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 22.4%	
h Establish a Maya Flavible			
b. Establish a More Flexible Certification Standard for Who Can	1st Choice 18.2%	1st Choice 35.1%	
Deliver EBPs	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 36.4%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 37.3%	
Deliver EDI 3	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 45.5%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 27.6%	
c. Develop Audit Options to Certify			
the CMHSP/Region and Not Just	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 18.7%	
Individual Staff	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 36.4%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 31.3%	
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 54.5%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 50.0%	

<u>Table 4</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 4

Table 4. Companson of Nanking Question 4	North Care	Statewide	
	n=11	n=139	
IV. Ranking Question 4:		-	
Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining	to staffing issues:		
a. Limit the Number of EBPs a	1 <sup>st</sup> Choice 36.4%	1 <sup>st</sup> Choice 23.9%	
CMHSP/Region is Implementing at	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 18.2%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 15.9%	
Any Given Time	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 18.2%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 13.8%	
	Unranked 27.3%	Unranked 46.4%	
	1st Cl. 1	1st Ch. 1	
b. Ensure that EBPs Don't Add to	1st Choice 45.5%	1st Choice 26.1%	
Staff Workload	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 0.0%  3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 0.0%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 16.7% 13.0%	
	Unranked 54.5%	Unranked 44.2%	
	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 10.1%	
c. Recognize Staff Achievements in	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 9.1%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 19.6%	
EBPs	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 18.2%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 21.0%	
	Unranked 63.6%	Unranked 49.3%	
d. Develop Mechanisms to Certify	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 21.7%	
Supervisors in Multiple EBPs	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 27.3%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 17.4%	
Supervisors in Multiple EDI's	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 36.4%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 27.5%	
	Unranked 27.3%	Unranked 33.3%	
e. Offer Incentives to Motivate Staff Participation in EBP Supervision	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 16.7%	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice 45.5%	2nd Choice 29.0%	
	3rd Choice 27.3%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice 23.2%	
	Unranked 27.3%	Unranked 31.2%	
	5111 alikeu 27.570	51.270	

Table 5: Comparison of Ranking Question 5

<u>Table 5</u> : Comparison of Ranking Question 5				
	North Care		Statewide	
	n=11		n=139	
	<u>n=</u> .	4.1	11-139	
V. Ranking Question 5:				-
Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining	to gathering d	lata and measi	ıring outcomes:	
				.=
a. Establish a Common Outcome	1st Choice	27.3%	1st Choice	47.8%
Measure for EBPs	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	36.4%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	29.0%
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	36.4%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	15.2%
	Unranked	0.0%	Unranked	8.0%
	4 . 0	0.40/	4.0	<b>5.0</b> 0/
b. Adopt a Statewide Electronic	1st Choice	9.1%	1st Choice	7.2%
Medical Record System	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	9.1%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	8.0%
,	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	18.2%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	24.6%
	Unranked	63.6%	Unranked	60.1%
	4 - 01 - 1	07.00/	4.0	10.00/
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures	1st Choice	27.3%	1st Choice	10.9%
into the State Audits	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	9.1%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	26.8%
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	45.5%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	37.0%
	Unranked	18.2%	Unranked	25.4%
	4 . 67	06.464	4 . 67	24.007
d. Use Cost Benefit Analysis to	1st Choice	36.4%	1st Choice	31.9%
Determine Effectiveness of Multiple EBPs	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	45.5%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	34.1%
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	0.0%	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	21.0%
	Unranked	18.2%	Unranked	13.0%